arXiv:1402.4599v1 [math.GT] 19 Feb 2014

On a Conjecture by Kauffman on Alternative and Pseudoalternating Links

Marithania Silvero *

Departamento de Álgebra. Facultad de Matemáticas.
Universidad de Sevilla. Spain.
marithania@us.es

February 20, 2014

Abstract It is known that alternative links are pseudoalternating. In 1983 Louis Kauffman conjectured that both classes are identical. In this paper we prove that Kauffman Conjecture holds in the particular case of knots of genus one. However, it is not true in general, as we also prove by finding two counterexamples: a knot of genus two and a two-components link of genus one, showing that both values (the number of components and the genus) cannot be increased. In the way we will work with the intermediate family of homogeneous links, introduced by Peter Cromwell.

Keywords: Alternative links. Homogeneous links. Pseudoalternating links.

1 Introduction

In [7] Louis Kauffman defined the family of alternative links, as an extension of the class of alternating links which preserves some of the nice properties of this well-known

*Partially supported by MTM2010-19355 and FEDER.

class. Previously, in 1976, E.J. Mayland and K. Murasugi introduced the class of pseudoalternating links [8]. Alternative links are pseudoalternating, and Kauffman conjectured that the converse also holds:

Conjecture 1.1. [7] *The classes of alternative and pseudoalternating links are identical.*

Although this conjecture was stated by Kauffman, Mayland and Murasugi posed a similar question in [8]. In this paper we prove Conjecture 1.1 for the particular case of knots of genus one, and we provide counterexamples for higher genus and higher number of components. Namely, we present a genus two knot and a genus one two-components link which are pseudoalternating but not alternative.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2 we recall the definitions of alternative and pseudoalternating links; we also recall the definition of homogeneous links, an intermediate family introduced by Peter Cromwell in [3]. In Section 3 we find a link which is pseudoalternating and non-homogeneous, hence non-alternative: $L9n18\{1\}$, a genus one link of two components. In Section 4 we show another counterexample: knot 10_{145}, whose genus equals two. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to prove that Kauffman Conjecture holds in the case of genus one knots, providing an alternative proof of the characterization of homogeneous genus one knots given in [6]; we also give an upper bound for the number of primitive flat surfaces plumbed to construct a generalized one spanning a given pseudoalternating link.

Acknowledgements I want to thank Pedro M. G. Manchón and Juan González-Meneses for telling me about Kauffman Conjecture, which constituted the starting point of this work. I am also grateful for their numerous valuable comments, their suggestions and corrections on preliminary versions of this paper. I would also like to thank Józef H. Przytycki for sharing some of his intuitive ideas with me.

2 Alternative, Homogeneous and Pseudoalternating Links

As the alternative, homogeneous and pseudoalternating characters of a link are orientation dependant, from now on all links will be oriented and non-split.

Given an oriented diagram D of a link L, it is possible to smooth every crossing coherently with the orientation of the diagram. After doing this for all crossings in D, we obtain a set of topological (Seifert) circles. Following Kauffman, the *spaces* of the diagram D are the connected components of the complement of its Seifert circles in S^2, as opposed to the regions of the knot diagram. Draw an edge joining two Seifert circles at the place where there was a crossing in D, and label the edge with the sign of the corresponding crossing ($+$ or $-$). We will refer to the resulting set of topological circles and labeled edges as the Seifert diagram of D, because of the analogy of this process to Seifert's algorithm for constructing an orientable surface spanning a link.

Definition 2.1. [7] *An oriented diagram D is alternative if all the edges in any given space of D have the same sign. An oriented link is alternative if it admits an alternative diagram.*

An oriented diagram is alternating if and only if it is alternative and the sign of the edges in its Seifert diagram changes alternatively when passing through adjacent spaces [7, Lemma 9.2]. There are nevertheless alternative links which are not alternating: for instance, every positive (hence alternative) non-alternating link, like the knot 8_{19}.

We consider now the family of homogeneous links, introduced by Peter Cromwell in 1989 [3]. From the Seifert diagram associated to D, we can construct a graph G_D as follows: associate a vertex to each Seifert circle and draw an edge connecting two vertices in G_D for each edge joining the associated circles in the Seifert diagram; each edge must be labeled with the sign $+$ or $-$ of its associated crossing in D. The signed graph G_D is called the Seifert graph associated to D. Note that G_D can be obtanined from the Seifert diagram of D by collapsing each circle to a vertex.

Given a connected graph G, a vertex v is a *cut vertex* if $G\backslash\{v\}$ is disconnected. A block of G is a maximal subgraph of G with no cut vertices. Blocks of the graph G can be though of in the following way: remove all the cut vertices of G; each remaining connected component together with its adjacent cut vertices is a block of G.



Figure 1: Two diagrams D and D' of the knot 9_{43}, their associated Seifert diagrams and Seifert graphs. A dark edge has a positive label; a light edge a negative one. D shows that 9_{43} is homogeneous, as the diagram in the figure is so; D is non-alternative, but since D' is so, 9_{43} is alternative.

Definition 2.2. [3] *A Seifert graph is homogeneous if all the edges of a block have the same sign, for all blocks in the graph. An oriented diagram D is homogeneous if its associated Seifert graph G_D is homogeneous. An oriented link is homogeneous if it admits a homogeneous diagram.*

Note that the original diagram D can be recovered from its Seifert diagram, as the sign and position of the crossings in the diagram are preserved (see Figure 1). However, as the relative position of the circles and the order of the edges is not encoded in the Seifert graph, D cannot be recovered from G_D.

Let us finally introduce pseudoalternating links. Starting from an oriented diagram D of a link L, the surface S_D obtained by applying Seifert's algorithm [4] is known as the projection surface of L associated to D. The graph G_D can also be thought as the spine graph of the corresponding projection surface.

Primitive flat surfaces [8] are those projection surfaces arising from positive or negative diagrams whose Seifert diagrams have not nested circles. A *generalized flat surface* is,



Figure 2: S_1 and S_2 are primitive flat surfaces. By an identification of discs d_1 and d_2, the generalized flat surface $S = S_1 * S_2$ is obtained. The link spanned by S is a pseudoalternating link.

roughly speaking, an orientable surface obtained by gluing a finite number of primitive flat surfaces along some of their discs.

More precisely, given two primitive flat surfaces S_1 and S_2, choose a disc of each one, d_1 and d_2. Now, identify both discs in such a way that there exists a sphere $S^2 \subset S^3$ separating S_3 into two non empty 3-balls B_1 and B_2 such that $S_i \subset B_i$ and $S^2 \cap S_i = d_i$, for $i = 1, 2$. Bands starting at d_1 and d_2 are not allowed to overlap when identifying d_1 and d_2. This special kind of Stallings plumbing (or Murasugi sum) will be noted by $*$ (see Figure 2). Generalized flat surfaces are obtained as a finite iteration of this process, plumbing a primitive flat surface in each step.

Notice that generalized flat surfaces are orientable. Hence the following definition makes sense:

Definition 2.3. [8] *An oriented link is said to be pseudoalternating if it is the boundary of a generalized flat surface, with the natural inherited orientation.*

Given the Seifert diagram of a link, circles containing other circles in both sides (inside and outside) become cut vertices in the associated Seifert graph. As a result, an alternative diagram is homogeneous, so an alternative link is homogeneous.

Let D be a homogeneous diagram and S_D its projection surface. Let $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ be the blocks of the homogeneous Seifert graph G_D, D_i the subdiagram of D (together with some arcs) associated to the subgraph $G_i \subset G_D$, and $S_{D_i} \subset S_D$ the projection surface constructed from D_i. Then $S_D = S_{D_1} * \ldots * S_{D_n}$. Since blocks in a graph do not contain cut vertices, each S_{D_i} is a primitive flat surface: all bands are twisted in the same way and the discs on the surface are either not nested, or there exists a single disc containing the other ones (and this situation is isotopic to the previous one). Hence, S_D is a generalized flat surface. This proves that homogeneous links are pseudoalternating, so Conjecture 1.1 can be restated by saying that the classes of alternative, homogeneous and pseudoalternating links are equal.

In the two following Sections we will present two pseudoalternating links which are not homogeneous, hence non-alternative; these links are counterexamples to Conjecture 1.1.

3 A genus one link counterexample to Kauffman Conjecture

The main problem when trying to deal with Kauffman Conjecture is that identifying whether a link is alternative or pseudoalternating is not easy. Of course, by finding an alternative diagram one shows the alternativity of a link, but this does not help when the link is not alternative. In this sense, working with the family of homogeneous links will help us.

Cromwell's paper [3] is devoted to the study of homogeneous links; he provides some sufficient conditions for determining the non-homogeneous character of a link. In particular, we will use the following result:

Theorem 3.1. [3, Corollary 5.1] *If L is a homogeneous link and the leading coefficient of its Conway polynomial $\nabla(L)$ is ± 1, then the crossing number of L is at most $2 \cdot$ maxdeg $\nabla(L)$.*

The Conway polynomial of L is defined as $\nabla(L) = P(1, z)$, where $P(v, z)$ is the HOMFLYPT polynomial defined by the skein relation $v^{-1}P(D_+) - vP(D_-) = zP(D_0)$, with normalization $P(\text{unknot}) = 1$.



Figure 3: Diagrams D_+, D_- and D_0.

We are ready now to prove the following result:

Theorem 3.2. *There exists a pseudoalternating link which is not homogeneous.*

Proof. Consider the oriented link L with two components presented by the oriented diagram D shown in Figure 4. This link is $L9n18\{1\}$ in [2], which corresponds to the two-components link $L9n18$ in Thistlethwaite table (or 9^2_{53} in Rolfsen table). Its Conway polynomial is $\nabla(L) = z^3 + 4z$, so by Theorem 3.1, if L were homogeneous its crossing number would be at most $2 \cdot 3 = 6$, yielding a contradiction. Consequently, L is non-homogeneous.

See Figure 4. The diagram D can be transformed by a Reidemeister III and a Reidemeister I move into the diagram D'. The new diagram D' allows us to see L as the boundary of a certain surface S. The surface S is the result of performing two Stallings plumbings of three surfaces, S_1, S_2, S_3, using discs d_1, d_2 and d_3 as "gluing patches", this is, $S = S_1 * S_2 * S_3$. Each of these surfaces consists on two discs joined by a pair of bands twisted in a positive way, so S_1, S_2 and S_3 are primitive flat surfaces; hence S is a generalized flat surface and L is a pseudoalternating link. □

Since every alternative link is homogeneous, the link $L9n18\{1\}$ is a counterexample to Conjecture 1.1.

Corollary 3.3. *There exists a pseudoalternating link which is not alternative.*

Note that if one changes the orientation of one component of L (for example, reversing the orientation of the dark component in Figure 4) the resulting link is negative, hence alternative.



Figure 4: D and D' are diagrams of the link $L = L9n18\{1\}$ in [2]; S is a Seifert surface for L, and S' is obtained from S just by overturning the subsurface S_3 over the disc d.

4 A genus two knot counterexample

At this point one can wonder if there exist links which are pseudoalternating and non-alternative with any possible orientation of its components. In this Section we give such an example by finding a knot of genus two with these properties, as pseudoalternating, homogeneous and alternative characters are not orientation-dependant in the case of knots. We present a knot of genus two which is pseudoalternating and non-alternative, showing that, in general, Conjeture 1.1 does not hold for knots.

Theorem 4.1. *There exists a pseudoalternating knot which is not homogeneous.*

Proof. The proof is analogous to that of Theorem 3.2. Let K be the genus two knot 10_{145} in Rolfsen table, with the orientation given in Figure 5. Its Conway polynomial is $\nabla(K) = z^4 + 5z^2 + 1$, so by Theorem 3.1 we deduce that K is not homogeneous, as $10 > 2 \cdot 4$.



Figure 5: From D_1 to D_2 and D_3 to D_4 just move the grey strand, leaving unchanged the rest of the diagram; from D_2 to D_3 perform two Reidemeister III moves on the dotted strand. By performing a Reidemeister I move on the dotted strand in D_4, we see that S is a Seifert surface for K; S' is the result of overturning one of the primitive flat surfaces over the disc d.

See Figure 5. By a finite sequence of Reidemeister moves, the classical diagram representing K in [1], D_1, can be transformed into D_4 by performing the following steps: from D_1, we obtain D_2 by leaving unchanged the diagram except for the grey strand; perform two Reidemeister III moves on the dotted strand of D_2 in order to get D_3; finally, transform D_3 into D_4 by moving the grey strand. At this point it is easy to see that the surface S bounds K; S is a generalized flat surface obtained by performing two Stallings plumbing of three primitive flat surfaces (two of them consist on a pair of discs joined by two bands, and the other one consists on two discs together with three bands, as shown in S') using twice the same disc, d, as "gluing path". As a result, K is a pseudoalternating knot. □

Corollary 4.2. *There exists a pseudoalternating knot which is not alternative.*

Since 10_{145} is a non-alternating, non-positive knot of genus two, the following result of

Stoimenov provides an alternative proof of Corollary 4.2. We remark that the definition of homogeneous link given by Stoimenov in [9] is equivalent to our definition of alternative link, taken from Kauffman [7].

Theorem 4.3. [9, Theorem 4.1] *Any alternative genus two knot K is alternating or positive.*

As we said before, alternativity, homogeneity and pseudoalternation depend on the orientation in the case of links but not when working with knots. Moreover, a knot is alternative, homogeneous or pseudoalternating if and only if its mirror image is so. Since $K = 10_{145}$ oriented as in Figure 5 is chiral, its mirror image K^* is another counterexample to Conjecture 1.1.

5 Genus one knots

Theorems 3.2 and 4.1 show that Conjecture 1.1 does not hold for links or knots in general. On the contrary, in this Section we prove that the family of genus one knots satisfies Kauffman Conjecture.

Given a pseudoalternating link L, it is not easy to find a diagram which helps to find a generalized flat surface bounding the link. Here we give an upper bound on the number of non-trivial (that is, non-isotopic to a disc) primitive flat surfaces which can be plumbed in order to get a generalized flat surface bounded by L.

We will use the following result:

Proposition 5.1. *Among all the connected Seifert surfaces that span a given pseudoalternating link, those that are generalized flat surfaces have maximal Euler characteristic, or equivalently, minimal genus.*

For a proof see [8, Proposition 4.4]. It also follows from a well-known result by Gabai [5, Corollary 6.7]. Now we prove the following:

Theorem 5.2. *Let S be a generalized flat surface spanning a pseudoalternating link L with μ boundary components. If $S = S_0 * S_1 * \ldots * S_n$, with each S_i a non-trivial primitive flat surface, then $n \leq 2g(L) + \mu - 2$.*

Proof. As S is a connected orientable surface, its Euler characteristic can be computed as $\chi(S) = 2 - 2g(S) - \mu$. Moreover, $\chi(S) = d_S - b_S$, where d_S and b_S are the number of discs and bands in S respectively. Write $D(S) := b_S - d_S$, hence $D(S) = 2g(S) + \mu - 2$.

By Proposition 5.1 we have $g(L) = g(S)$, so $D(S) = 2g(L) + \mu - 2$.

Generalized flat surfaces are constructed as plumbing of primitive flat surfaces. Now we count the number of discs and bands after performing this special kind of Stallings plumbing. Since $d(S_0 * S_1) = d(S_0) + d(S_1) - 1$ and $b(S_0 * S_1) = b(S_0) + b(S_1)$, it follows that $D(S_0 * S_1) = D(S_0) + D(S_1) + 1$.

As the surface S_i is connected, $D(S_i) \geq -1$. Moreover, $D(S_i) \geq 0$ since S_i is non-trivial, hence $D(S_0 * S_1) \geq 1$. Then, after performing n Stallings plumbings, one gets

$$D(S) = D(S_0 * S_1 * \ldots * S_n) = D(S_0) + D(S_1) + \ldots + D(S_n) + n \geq n.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 5.2. □

Lemma 5.3. *Let S be generalized flat surface spanning a pseudoalternating link L. If S is either a primitive flat surface or a generalized flat surface constructed as the Stallings plumbing of two primitive flat surfaces, then L is alternative.*

Proof. If S is a primitive flat surface, then L is a positive or negative link, hence it is alternative.

Otherwise $S = S_0 * S_1$, with S_0 and S_1 two primitive flat surfaces. Now just turn S_1 over (or under) the "gluing disc" (see Figure 6). Then it is clear that the projection of the boundary of the new surface on the plane that contains the discs of S_0 provides a diagram for L with just two spaces containing edges; this diagram is alternative, as edges related to S_0 and S_1 are in different spaces. □

The previous Lemma together with Theorem 5.2 lead to the following results:

Corollary 5.4. *Every pseudoalternating genus zero link with three or less components is alternative.*

Proof. Let L be a pseudoalternating genus zero link, and let S be a generalized flat surface whose boundary is L. By Theorem 5.2 the surface S is plumbing of $n + 1 \leq 2g(K) + \mu -$



Figure 6: $S = S_0 * S_1$, with S_0 and S_1 two primitive flat surfaces plumbed by using d as gluing disc; S_1 has been colored. In A (B), the surface S_1 has been overturned over (under) the disc d.

$2 + 1 = \mu - 1$ non-trivial primitive flat surfaces. If $\mu = 1$, L is the trivial knot, which bounds a disc, hence it is alternative. If μ is 2 or 3, the result holds by Lemma 5.3. □

Let us remark that, by considering all different possibilities, one can see that pseudoalternating genus zero links of four and five components are also alternative.

Another consequence of Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 5.2 is the following corollary:

Corollary 5.5. *Every pseudoalternating genus one knot is alternative.*

Proof. Let K be a pseudoalternating genus one knot, and let S be a generalized flat surface whose boundary is K. By Theorem 5.2, as $2g(K) + \mu - 2 = 1$, S is plumbing of at most two non-trivial primitive surfaces. Lemma 5.3 completes the proof. □

We have shown that a knot of genus one is pseudoalternating if and only if it is homogeneous. As a consequence, we obtain an alternative proof of the following result:

Theorem 5.6. [6] *A genus one knot is homogeneous if and only if it belongs to one of the two following classes of knots:*
1.- Pretzel knots with diagram $P(a, b, c)$, where a, b, c are odd integers with the same sign.
2.- Pretzel knots with diagram $P(m, e, \overset{k}{\ldots}, e)$, where m and k are non-zero even integers and $e = \pm 1$.

Proof. As before, $K = \partial S$, where S is a primitive flat surface of genus one or $S = S_0 * S_1$ is the plumbing of two primitive flat surfaces of genus zero.

In the first case, K is a positive or negative knot. As S is connected and $D(S) = 1$, S must be as shown in Figure 7 (left). Write A, B, C for each of the three subsurfaces consisting on "a linear path of bands and discs" in S (A, B and C in cyclic order when traveling through the two common discs d_δ and d_γ). As K is a knot, each of these paths must start and end in discs with different orientations (otherwise the link bounding the surface would be a 3-components link). Hence, their respective numbers of bands, a', b', c', are odd. Let ε be their common sign. K is the Pretzel knot $P(\varepsilon \cdot a', \varepsilon \cdot b', \varepsilon \cdot c')$, as can be seen in Figure 7.

Now assume that $S = S_0 * S_1$. Note that after the plumbing, the pair of bands attached to d_1 in S_1 must alternate with the two bands attached to d_0 in S_0 in the gluing disc $d = d_0 = d_1$; otherwise, the resulting surface would span a 3-components link. Let b_i be the number of bands in S_i and ε_i their signs, $i = 0, 1$; since $D(S) = 1 = D(S_0) + D(S_1) + 1$ and $D(S_i) \geq 0$, we obtain that $D(S_0) = D(S_1) = 0$, which implies that both S_0 and S_1 are twisted Hopf-bands, that is, each of them consists on k discs and k bands joined forming a circle. Since they are oriented, b_i is even and it follows (see an example in Figure 8) that K is the Pretzel knot $P(\varepsilon_0 \cdot b_0, \varepsilon_1, \overset{b_1}{\ldots}, \varepsilon_1)$. Write $m = \varepsilon_0 \cdot b_0$, $k = b_1$ and $e = \varepsilon_1$. □



Figure 7: S is a primitive flat surface; an even number of discs and bands can be attached in the place of the dotted lines (or removed). One of the three subsurfaces, say A, has been colored. If one thinks on the example in the picture as if all lines were non-dotted, then $a' = 5$, $b' = 3$, $c' = 5$ and $\varepsilon = -$.



Figure 8: $S = S_0 * S_1$ is a generalized flat surface; S_1 has been colored. After overturning S_1 over the dotted disc, it is clear that K is the Pretzel knot $P = (-6, 1, 1, 1, 1)$

References

[1] J.C. Cha and C. Livingston. KnotInfo, Table of Knot Invariants. http://www.indiana.edu/ knotinfo.

[2] J.C. Cha and C. Livingston. LinkInfo, Table of Knot Invariants. http://www.indiana.edu/ linkinfo.

[3] P. Cromwell. Homogeneous links. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 2(39):535–552, 1989.

[4] P. Cromwell. *Knots and Links*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.

[5] D. Gabai. Foliations and the topology of 3-manifolds. *J. Diff. Geom.*, 18:445–503, 1983.

[6] P. M. González Manchón. Homogeneous links and the Seifert matrix. *Pacific J. Math.*, 255(2):373–392, 2012.

[7] L.H. Kauffman. *Formal Knot Theory*. Princeton University Press, 1983.

[8] E.J. Mayland and K. Murasugi. On a structural property of the groups of alternating links. *Canad. J. Math.*, XXVIII(3):568–588, 1976.

[9] A. Stoimenov. Knots of (canonical) genus two. *Fund. Math.*, 200:1–67, 2008.